



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,438	11/01/2001	Faisal M. Awada	AU920010885US1	3560

7590 08/30/2004
Mr. Volel Emile
P.O. Box 202170
Austin, TX 78720-2170

EXAMINER

RIES, LAURIE ANNE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/002,438	Applicant(s) AWADA ET AL.	
	Examiner Laurie Ries	Art Unit 2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 November 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-16, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brisebois (U.S. Patent 6,219,679 B1).

As per claims 1, 7, 13, and 19, Brisebois discloses a method, apparatus, computer program product, and computer system containing a processor and memory for bookmarking a section of a Web page including storing the network address (or URL) of the page (See Brisebois, Figure 3A, and Column 4, lines 62-63), and storing the section of the page such that when the network address or URL is used to access and display the page, the section of the page is displayed. (See Brisebois, Column 1, lines 54-67).

As per claims 2, 8, 14, and 20, Brisebois also discloses that the section of the page being stored is the section of the page displayed when the network address or URL is stored. (See Brisebois, Column 1, lines 57-67).

As per claims 3, 9, 15, and 21, Brisebois also discloses storing the current size of a window within which the page is displayed. (See Brisebois, Figure 3C, and Column 5, lines 33-36).

As per claims 4,10,16, and 22, Brisebois also discloses storing positions of scroll boxes in scroll bars in the window. (See Brisebois, Figure 3D, and Column 5, lines 49-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6, 11-12, 17-18 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brisebois (U.S. Patent 6,219,679 B1) as applied to claims 4, 10, 16, and 22 above, and further in view of Littlefield (U.S. Patent 6,564,208 B1).

As per claims 5, 11, 17, and 23, Brisebois discloses the limitations of claims 4, 10, 16, and 22 as described above. Brisebois does not disclose expressly storing font attributes of the displayed page. Littlefield discloses storing font attribute information within a search result web page. (See Littlefield, Column 5, lines 55-59). Brisebois and Littlefield are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of storing Web page information. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the storing of font attribute information of Littlefield with the storing of the section of the Web page of Brisebois. The motivation for doing so would have been to display the text items of the Web page that contain non-default font attributes using the non-default font attributes specified in the Web

Art Unit: 2176

page. (See Littlefield, Column 5, lines 54-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Littlefield with Brisebois for the benefit of displaying text using the font attributes specified in the Web page to obtain the invention as defined in claims 5, 11, 17, and 23.

As per claims 6, 12, 18, and 24, Brisebois and Littlefield disclose the limitations of claims 5, 11, 17, and 23 as described above. Brisebois also discloses storing X-Y coordinates of the window and the scroll boxes. (See Brisebois, Figure 3A, Column 4, lines 62-67, Column 5, lines 1-2, and Column 6, lines 45-53).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


- Kanno (U.S. Patent 6,526,424 B2) discloses a browser image display bookmark system.
- Montalbano (U.S. Patent 5,918,237) discloses A system and method provide a multimedia bookmark for a hypertext markup language file that has Universal Resource Locator (URL).
- IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, January 1998, "Internal Placemarks for Uniform Resource Locator Bookmark Operations" discloses a method to expand the definition of URL bookmarking to incorporate additional information.

Art Unit: 2176

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie Ries whose telephone number is currently (703) 605-1238. After mid-October, 2004, the examiner can be reached at (571) 272-4095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00am to 3:30pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LAR


SANJIV SHAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER